“Measuring the Impact of Clustered Housing Investment under the Federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program”

**Presenter:** Ira J. Goldstein, The Reinvestment Fund

Ira Goldstein is the president of policy solutions at The Reinvestment Fund (TRF), a results-oriented, socially responsible community investment group. Goldstein has conducted detailed spatial and statistical analyses of housing markets in cities ranging from Philadelphia to Detroit to San Antonio. Those studies are used by local government to craft policy responses and allocate scarce resources based on local market conditions. He has also conducted studies of mortgage foreclosures and abusive lending practices. His work supported civil rights and consumer protection cases brought by federal, state, and local governments. Prior to joining TRF, Goldstein was the midatlantic director of the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). He is a former member of the Federal Reserve Board’s Consumer Advisory Council and a current member of the Research Advisory Board of the Center for Responsible Lending, Governor of Pennsylvania’s Housing Advisory Committee, and the HOPE LoanPort Board. For more than 20 years, he has been a lecturer at the University of Pennsylvania’s urban studies program. Goldstein received a BA, MA, and PhD from Temple University.

**Coauthors:** Maggie McCullough, The Reinvestment Fund; Katie Nelson, The Reinvestment Fund; Scott Haag, The Reinvestment Fund

**Summary and Findings:** In three separate allocations, Congress and HUD created the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). The intent of NSP is to mitigate the impact of abandoned and foreclosed housing stock that is destabilizing neighborhoods across the country. In this paper, we describe a methodology for identifying spatial clusters of NSP investment—neighborhood investment clusters (NICs)—and our strategy for systematically (and in an automated fashion) identifying comparable areas to those NICs for purposes of measuring progress toward reducing vacancy and stabilizing home prices. Our analysis describes the spatial distribution of NICs across the country and also in terms of the predominant NSP activity (such as demolition or rehabilitation). In addition, we explore whether the nature of the activity plays a role in an NIC’s ability to achieve measurable progress. Finally, we explore whether NICs populated with NSP round 1 investments performed differently than NICs populated with NSP round 2 investments.

**Implications for Policy and Practice:** This research will help the federal government and recipients of federal NSP assistance (i.e., grantees) across the country understand the impact of NSP investment. As NSP is an ongoing program, this contemporaneous review of its impacts and outcomes can be used to adjust strategy. We also hope to make a contribution to the reasonably limited literature on the impact of clustered housing and community development investment.