

Discussion of
“The Risky Capital of Emerging Markets”
by
David, Henriksen & Simonovska

Stanley E. Zin
New York University

Workshop on International Economics
Atlanta Fed & NYU Stern
December 12, 2014


Lucas 1990

Data Capital productivity is orders-of-magnitude bigger in some countries (low-income?) than others (high-income?)

Theory Frictionless and complete world capital markets \Rightarrow MPKs equal across countries

Puzzle Why doesn't capital flow from low-MPK countries to high-MPK countries to bring these differences closer to equality?

MPK India/US 1960-1989 (Lucas, TFP equal)



Answers?

Lucas Proposed (and dismissed) a number of possibilities

- ▶ human capital
- ▶ externalities from human capital
- ▶ capital-market frictions

Others More of the same

This paper's question(s)


Are high MPKs compensation for high risk?

What kinds of risks could command such large premiums?


Approach:

- ▶ measurement of returns
- ▶ asset-pricing model


MPK India/US 1960-1989 (PWT8.0 capital)




MPK India/US 1990-2011 (Lucas, TFP equal)




MPK India/US 1990-2011 (PWT8.0 capital)




MPK India vs. US (PWT8.0 capital)




DHS: Avg Return to K vs. Avg Income




DHS: Avg Return to K vs. Avg Income



DHS: Regress Avg Return to K on Avg Income



DHS: Income-based portfolios



Portfolios?

- ▶ Why income?
 - ▶ Lucas was thinking about development
 - ▶ But maybe there are other equally interesting questions
- ▶ Lots of other alternatives:
 - ▶ DHS do income and “openness” ... interesting!
 - ▶ finance guys do things like size, growth, momentum...
 - ▶ inflation/financial stability
 - ▶ geography or colonial history
 - ▶ resource vs. manufacturing vs. agriculture
 - ▶ “Doing Business” index and the like

Model

- ▶ Endowment/exchange economy
- ▶ Representative agent with Epstein-Zin preferences
- ▶ Correlation structure between US and foreign consumption and “cashflows” from owning US and foreign capital
- ▶ Calibrated to match features of international returns to capital

Risk

- ▶ Recursive references

$$\begin{aligned}U_t &= V[c_t, \mu_t(U_{t+1})] \\ &= [(1 - \beta)c_t^\rho + \beta\mu_t(U_{t+1})^\rho]^{1/\rho}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_t(U_{t+1}) = [E_t(U_{t+1}^\alpha)]^{1/\alpha}$$

$$V, \mu_t \text{ hdl}, RA = 1 - \alpha, EIS \equiv \sigma = 1/(1 - \rho)$$

- ▶ Pricing kernel with recursive preferences

$$m_{t+1} = \beta \left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{\rho-1} \left(\frac{U_{t+1}}{\mu_t(U_{t+1})} \right)^{\alpha-\rho}$$

Constant vs. stochastic volatility

$$\begin{aligned} \log m_{t+1} = & \log \beta + (\rho - 1) \overbrace{\log(c_{t+1}/c_t)}^{\text{short run risk}} \\ & + (\alpha - \rho) \underbrace{[\log U_{t+1} - \log \mu_t(U_{t+1})]}_{\text{long run risk}} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ What if $u_t \equiv \log U_{t+1} \sim N(E_t u_{t+1}, V_t(u_{t+1}))$?
- ▶ New dynamics?

$$\log U_{t+1} - \log \mu_t(U_{t+1}) = \underbrace{u_{t+1} - E_t u_{t+1}}_{\text{utility shock}} + \underbrace{\alpha V_t(u_{t+1})/2}_{\text{utility risk}}$$

Equity vs. Capital: the role of ϕ and ϕ^*

$$\Delta c_{t+1} = \mu_c + x_t + \eta_{t+1}$$

$$x_{t+1} = \rho x_t + e_{t+1}$$

$$\Delta d_{t+1} = \mu_d + \phi x_t + \pi \eta_{t+1} + \mu_{t+1}$$

$$\Delta c_{t+1}^* = \mu_c^* + \xi^* x_t + x_t^* + \pi_c^* \eta_{t+1} + \eta_{t+1}^*$$

$$x_{t+1}^* = \rho^* x_t^* + e_{t+1}^*$$

$$\Delta d_{t+1}^* = \mu_d^* + \tilde{\phi}^* (\xi^* x_t + x_t^*) + \pi^* \eta_{t+1} + \pi_d^* \mu_{t+1} + \pi_{cd}^* \eta_{t+1}^* + \mu_{t+1}^*$$

- ▶ In a model with limited liability and levered equity investments, ϕ is a hack to capture the fact that aggregate equity is like a call option on aggregate consumption
- ▶ When we're measuring capital itself, rather than levered equity, how do we interpret ϕ ? Nationalization? Disasters?

What about capital?!!!

- ▶ Doesn't it seem a bit strange to study a capital-flow puzzle in an economy with no capital accumulation or mobility?
- ▶ New work by Backus, Ferriere and Zin shows that this is not a big deal:
 - ▶ stochastic growth model with recursive utility (and stochastic volatility)
 - ▶ endogenous capital dynamics unaffected by shocks, risk (constant or stochastic), or risk aversion
 - ▶ for asset-pricing problems, the growth model with endogenous capital and recursive utility will behave just like the endowment economy studied in this paper

Model Expected Returns

