

Pensions in Brazil and the Limits of Parametric Reform in Latin America

Atlanta March 2nd, 2006

Milko Matijascic milko@uol.com.br

Stephen J. Kay Stephen.Kay@atl.frb.org

Public Pension System

- The General Social Security Regime, **for private sector workers**; managed by the National Social Security Institute (INSS)
- The Special Social Security Regimes, **for public servants, administered in conjunction with payroll**

Social Security and 1988 Constitution

The 1988 Constitution principles were:

- Universal rights
- Concept of social security - “Seguridade”- pensions, health, and social assistance
- Diversification of financing
- Decentralized administration (except for pensions)

1998 Reforms- Cardoso Administration

- Years of *contribution*, not years worked
- **Early retirement ended** for new insured
- **Minimum age** for civil servant retirement;
- Total contributions and age linked for INSS benefit calculation (“social security factor” akin to **Notional Accounts**);
- Pension benefits contingent upon **mathematical reserves**
- Maximum of 50% share by employers in funding the pension funds of the state-owned companies, introducing guarantees of portability and vesting

President Lula's Reform -2003

Reduce civil servant pensions – based **on all contributions after 1994** rather than salary upon retirement, **reduces early retirement benefit** (5% per year), and **raises the minimum time of contribution**;

For future civil servants, fixes a **ceiling for defined benefit pensions** equivalent to the ceiling in the govt. (US\$ 1,273) plan for private sector workers;

Creates **individual private accounts** to cover pensions **above the new INSS ceiling**

Reduces value of survivorship pensions by 30% and imposes an 11% contribution on benefits over INSS ceiling

Debate: Deficits vs. Surplus

Focus on Pension Deficits - Revenues below expenditures, thus causing an INSS deficit of 1.7% of GDP, with a 3.6% of GDP deficit in 2004 for civil servants system. This justifies need for reforms.

Seguridade-centric - Seguridade should be treated as a whole and that its revenue sources – taxes on payroll, company profits, revenues, and financial transactions should be compared to expenses on health, welfare and pension. This leads to a seguridade surplus of 2.9% of GDP in 2004 (i.e. cutbacks not needed).

Reforming the Brazilian social security system

Elements for a new agenda

Revenue Losses – % of GDP

<i>Category</i>	<i>1997</i>	<i>2003</i>
Nonpayment	0.2	0.4
Fraud	2.0	2.1
Evasion	2.2	2.5
Exempt	0.8	0.8
Revenue Collection (1)	5.0	4.8
Potential Collection (2)	8.0	7.9
Estimated Losses (2-1)	3.0	3.2

Heavy losses occur due to **evasion, and fraud**. Revenue collection enhanced through increased compliance.

End questionable, **widespread exemptions**.

Occupational Structure and Effects on Social Security

<i>Country</i>	<i>Wages + payroll/ GDP - 2002</i>	<i>Occupational structure 2002</i>			<i>Average Wage - Euros 2001</i>
		<i>Employers or self- employed</i>	<i>Salaried</i>	<i>Domestic</i>	
<i>Brazil</i>	36.1	30.0	61.3	8.7	5,138
<i>Eurozone</i>	64.4	14.8	83.2	1.9	29,627

Sources: Eurostat and IBGE

Low levels of coverage remain a basic problem. Without higher salary levels and benefits that provide a basic standard of living, it isn't possible to have a classic universal social security system functioning with actuarial equilibrium

Impact of health conditions – 2003 data

<i>Country</i>	Losses of healthy life expectancy - %		Mortality until 65 years old - %	
	Men	Women	Men	Women
<i>Argentina</i>	13.6	15.5	28	15
<i>Brazil</i>	20.2	15.2	41	24
<i>Colombia</i>	17.1	16.9	29	20
<i>Mexico</i>	9.2	11.1	29	18
<i>Portugal</i>	11.7	13.4	23	11
<i>Italy</i>	9.2	11.3	18	10
<i>USA</i>	10.8	13.5	22	14
<i>Sweden</i>	9.2	11.1	14	9

Source: WHO – World Health Organization

Revisiting the key issues

- **Duration of benefits** does not in itself appear to be the key problem for private sector workers. Although 2004 government mortality tables show **benefits ceasing at age 77.6 for men and 80.6 for women** the INSS data show benefits ceasing at ages 71 and 66 – a 6.5/ 14.2 year disparity. **Data in deplorable condition.**
- Public expenditures on **survivorship benefits** (2.6%/GDP) are **overly generous**. Rapid rise in spending on **disability benefits and family income supports** in a population where fewer workers are making contributions is also cause for concern

Alternative Reform Approaches

- Don't focus only on **constitutional** reform:
 - **Administrative reform** to reduce fraud
 - Reform via **ordinary Legislation**
- Adults capable of working shouldn't receive lifetime **survivorship benefits**
- Classifying workers who are **partially disabled** appropriately would encourage them to find suitable employment
- **Eliminate duplicate benefits** and **cut benefits** for workers receiving full public benefits who **continue to work**